| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 10/809,901 | MURAYAMA ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | JEFFREY G. HOEKSTRA | 3736 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: After Non-Final | | |
| (1) <u>JEFFREY G. HOEKSTRA</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Wendi Weinstein. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 2 April 2009 | Time: <u>11:30 a.m.</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: n/a | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: n/a | | |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Examiner's Amendment in attached Office Action. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| /Jeffrey G Hoekstra/ Examiner, Art Unit 3736 (# | Applicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |
| | | |